Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,622	VRANA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jermie Cozart	3726
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>allowed</u>
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ray Scott.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 September 2006	Time: <u>3:50pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 11-14 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		-
(Everyings/CDE Cignetium)	ot/Applicant's Designation	ivo Cionatura if annus-rints)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	ivapplicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Ray Scott, the Examiner informed Mr. Scott of the minor informalities concerning claims 11-14 and 19. Mr. Scott agreed to amend claims 11-14 by examiner's amendment in order to correct the minor informalities and to place the application in condition for allowance.